**PATENT** 

		•			•			
		IN THE UN	ITED STATES	PATENT AND 1	RADEMARK OFFICE			
	Application Filed: For: Nov Syn for Assistan	chronised			Therein			
	ATTENTI	ON: Group	Director, Group		_ (M.P.E.P. § 1002.02(c))			
		PETITIO		PECIAL FOR NI .P.E.P. § 708.02,	EW APPLICATION VIII			
	NOTE: S	See M.P.E.P. §	708.02, 7th ed.					
	1. Petition							
	Applicant hereby petitions to make this new application, which has not received any examination by the Examiner, special.							
	2. Clair	ms						
	•	(chec	k and complete a	II applicable items	(a) through (c))			
	(a) 🗆	All the clair	ms in this case a	re directed to a si	ngle invention.			
	(b) 🛚	to a single		pplicant will make	ented are not obviously directed an election without traverse as			
	(c) 🗆	If claim(s) _		_ are found not to	be examinable in this case with			
		claim(s)	,	then applicant he	reby elects claim(s)			
			for the prosecut	ion of this case.				
					•			
08/30/2001 UEDUVIJE	3. Sear							
03 FC:122		130.00 OP		able items (d) thro	ugh (g))			
		h has been i	•					
	(a) $\Box$	the inventor						
	(e) 📙	attorney	l					
	(f) [7]	professional						
	(g) 🖾	foreign pate	•					
	in the tollo		Patent Office	e nt Application				
				-	E.P. § 708.02 III [9-15]page 1 of 2)			

Practitioner's Docket No. 5600-2

(complete all applicable items below)

(h) ifield of search: WPI; EPODOC; JA	<u>P10</u>
	d.7); subclass(es) G03B; H04N; G2E
(j) 🖾 foreign patents:	
(k) 🖾 search by corresponding foreign pa Patent Institute at The Hague, Nethe	itent office or at the former International rlands.
4. Copy of references	
There is submitted herewith a copy of the re the subject matter encompassed by the claims.	ferences deemed most closely related to
Also attached are Forms PTO/SB/08	A and 08B (formerly Form PTO-1449)
5. Detailed discussion of the reference	es ·
There is submitted herewith a detailed discuss particularly points out how the claimed subject management. As to all other references not treated above, and also attached is an Information Disciplinary.	atter is distinguishable over the references. Information Disclosure Statement should be filed.
6. Fee	
The fee required by 37 C.F.R. 1.17(i) (\$130.00	) is to be paid by
Attached is a ☐ check ☐ money order	•
✓ Authorization is hereby made to charge the state of	
☑ to Deposit Account No. 23–3030	ie amount of \$
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
form PTO-2038.	hed credit card information authorization
WARNING: Credit card information should not be include	ed on this form as it may become public.
☐ Charge any additional fees required by thi	
manner authorized above.	
A duplicate of this paper is attached.	John & Moray
Reg. No.: <sup>26</sup> , <sup>207</sup>	ohn V. Moriarty
Tel. No.: ( 317 ) 634-3456 <u>1</u>	pe or print name of practitioner) OODARD EMHARDT NAUGHTON MORIARTY & MCNETT 11 Monument Circle, Suite 3700 O. Address
Customer No.:	ndianapolis, IN 46204
(Petition to Make Special for New Application	under M.P.E.P. § 708.02, III [9-15]—page 2 of 2)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·







Virtual Video UK Ltd. % Bailey, Walsh & Co 5 York Place LEEDS LS1 2SD

RS AND

 $\searrow$ 

Your Reference: 8786-GB Application No: GB 0111909.8 The Patent Office Patents Directorate

Concept House Cardiff Road, Newport South Wales NP10 8QQ

Examiner: 01633 813736

E-mail: Andrew.Jenner@patent.gov.uk

Switchboard: 01633 814000

Fax: 01633 814444 Minicom: 08459 222250 DX 722540/41 Cleppa Park 3 http://www.patent.gov.uk

10 July 2001

Dear Sirs

Patents Act 1977:

Combined Search and Examination Report under Sections 17 and 18(3)

Latest date for reply: 24 May 2002

I enclose two copies of my search and examination report and a copy of the citations.

By the above date you should either file amendments to meet the objections in the report or make observations on them. If you do not, the application may be refused.

## Accelerated publication

At your request, publication of your application is being accelerated. Provided you have met all formal requirements, preparations for publication will be completed shortly and you will receive a letter informing you of the publication number and date of publication.

#### Amendment/withdrawal

Please note that, due to the acceleration of the procedure, it is unlikely that there will be sufficient time to file amended claims for inclusion with the published application, or to withdraw the application to prevent publication.

18 JUL 2001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Use of E-mail: Please note that e-mail should be used for correspondence only.







Application No: GB 0111909.8

Page 2

10 July 2001

Yours faithfully

Andrew P Jenner

Examiner

#### Important information about combined search and examination

I also ask that you take note of the following points. These might have a bearing on the future stages of your application because the examination report has been sent to you before your application has been published.

- (a) You may file voluntary amendments before making a full response to my examination report. We will publish with your application any new or amended claims you file voluntarily or as a full response, provided that they are received before preparations for publication are completed. It would help us when you file amendments before publication if you could prominently indicate in a covering letter whether or not the amendments are intended as a full response to the examination report.
- (b) If you file a full response to the examination report before your application is published I will consider it as soon as possible. However, if this would disrupt the publication of your application, I would have to delay taking any action until the application had been published. This delay could be up to 3 months, depending upon when we receive your response.
- (c) There is another situation when there might be a delay between you filing a full response and the Patent Office responding to it. This would arise if you met all my objections but your application had not or had only recently been published. I could not report the outcome of my re-examination until I was satisfied that the search was complete for documents published before the priority date of your invention and that anybody interested in the application has had three months following publication of the application to make observations on the patentability of your invention.
- Provided that the requirements of the Act have been met, I can send your application to grant as early as three months after publication. Before doing so I will bring the original search up to date and raise with you any further objection that might result from this top-up search. However, there is a possibility that at that time I may not have access to all the patent applications published after the priority date of your invention and of possible relevance to your application. If this is the case I would have to complete the search after grant and if necessary raise any new found novelty objection then.







Application No:

GB 0111909.8

Claims searched: 1 - 35

Examiner: Date of search:

Andrew P Jenner

9 July 2001

## Patents Act 1977 Search Report under Section 17

### Databases searched:

UK Patent Office collections, including GB, EP, WO & US patent specifications, in:

UK Cl (Ed.S): G2E

Int Cl (Ed.7): G03B, H04N

Other:

Online: WPI, EPODOC, JAPIO

Internet: http://www.stereoscopy.com

#### Documents considered to be relevant:

Category	Identity of docume	Relevant to claims	
A	GB 2312349 A	BURDER - see lines 12 - 14 of page 2	
A	GB <b>2</b> 304252 A	BURDER - see paragraph 3 of page 8	
A	EP <sub>1</sub> 0982701 A2	EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY	

- X Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step
- Y Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.
- Member of the same patent family

- A Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.
- P Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of this invention.
- E Patent document published on or after, but with priority date earlier than, the filing date of this application.







Your ref:

8786-GB

Application No:

GB 0111909.8

Applicant:

Virtual Video UK Ltd.

Latest date for reply:

24 May 2002

Examiner:

Andrew P Jenner

Tel:

01633 813736

Date of report: 10 July 2001

Page 1/1

### Patents Act 1977

# Combined Search and Examination Report under Sections 17 & 18(3)

#### Clarity

Paragraph 2 of page 1 casts doubt on the intended scope of the invention as it is not clear as to what is meant by 'any image display'. Claim 1, which defines the intended scope of the invention, and independent claim 14 both state that a lenticular display must be used.